



USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED: 4/15/2022

HON. SYLVIA O. HINDS-RADIX
Corporation Counsel

THE CITY OF NEW YORK
LAW DEPARTMENT
100 CHURCH STREET
NEW YORK, NY 10007

WYNEE NGO
cell: (646) 984-4115
office: (212) 356-0877
wngo@law.nyc.gov

April 15, 2022

BY ECF

Hon. Mary Kay Vyskocil
United States District Court
Southern District of New York
500 Pearl Street
New York, New York 10007

Re: *Polanco et al. v. Porter et al.*, 21-CV-10927 (MKV)

Dear Judge Vyskocil:

I am an Assistant Corporation Counsel in the Office of the Corporation Counsel of the City of New York, attorney for the Defendants in the above-referenced action. I write, with the consent of Plaintiff's counsel and pursuant to Rule 5.2(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, to respectfully request leave to file under seal the certified copy of the administrative record underlying this action, which is brought pursuant to the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA).

As an initial matter, the administrative record reflecting the transcripts of the hearings before the Impartial Hearing Office and the State Review Office, as well as exhibits, educational records, and medical records are replete with confidential information, including the name, date of birth, and other pedigree information of the minor student, A.D., on whose behalf this action is brought. This information should not be made public in compliance with Rule 5.2(a). *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.2(a).

Additionally, the record contains information describing A.D.'s medical history and disabilities, as well as the student's educational progress and history. These materials are confidential under the IDEA and the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA). 34 C.F.R. § 99.3 (defining personally identifiable information under FERPA), § 300.32 (including a "list of personal characteristics or other information that would make it possible to identify the child with reasonable certainty" as personally identifiable information under IDEA).

Moreover, as the underlying administrative proceeding is presumptively closed to the public pursuant to 34 C.F.R. § 300.512(c)(2), all documents recounting the proceeding should themselves be deemed confidential. *Id.* (permitting parents to choose whether a hearing is open or closed to the public). “For these reasons, courts in this Circuit have routinely allowed administrative records underlying IDEA cases to be filed under seal to protect the privacy interests of minor child plaintiffs.” *L.B. v. New York City Dept of Ed*, 15-CV-3176, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 127081, *2 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 22, 2015)(citing *C.L. v. Scarsdale Union Free Sch. Dist.*, 913 F. Supp. 2d 26, 30 (S.D.N.Y. 2012); *A.M. ex rel. Y.N. v. New York City Dep’t of Educ.*, 964 F. Supp. 2d 270, 277 (S.D.N.Y. 2013)).

For the reasons above, Defendants believe that the administrative record is appropriately filed under seal pursuant to *Lugosch v. Pyramid Co. of Onondaga*, 435 F.3d 110, 120 (2d Cir. 2006) (noting that countervailing factors weighing in favor of sealing include, *inter alia*, the privacy interests of those resisting disclosure). In particular, Defendants submit that the *Lugosch* standard is met because protecting A.D.’s privacy interests in keeping confidential the minor student’s education and medical history constitutes a “compelling reason” to seal the record outweighs the public’s interest in access. *Lugosch*, 435 F.3d at 121 (countervailing factors include, among others, the privacy interests of those resisting disclosure).

Accordingly, Defendants respectfully request, with consent of Plaintiff’s counsel, leave to file the certified administrative record in this action under seal.

Thank you for your consideration of this request.


Respectfully submitted,

s/
Wynee Ngo
Assistant Corporation Counsel

cc: Peter Glenn Albert (by ECF)
Ashleigh C. Rousseau
Plaintiff’s Counsel

Granted. SO ORDERED.

Date: 4/15/2022
New York, New York


Mary Kay Vyskocil
United States District Judge